



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

|                    |             |                       |                     |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 09/578,693         | 5/26/00     | Yamanouchi            | 9841                |

|           |              |
|-----------|--------------|
| EXAMINER  |              |
| Lisa Cook |              |
| ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1641      | 02162005     |

DATE MAILED:

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Knishi Rupert (45,702) VR      (3) Long Le (Spe)  
(2) Lisa Cook (examiner)                  (4)

Date of Interview \_\_\_\_\_

Type:  Telephonic  Teletype Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 2, 4, 6, 9, 14-19 and 21-24

Identification of prior art discussed: Final Action, mailed 1/12/05.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the primary combination of Gorski, Yaatman and Simon do not teach the instant invention. Specifically no motivation to combine, L-fabp and h-fabp are not functional equivalence, + hindsight. Applicant advised to submit supporting references + affidavit for further consideration. Yaatman were speculations with respect to (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

  
2/16/05